

MINUTES OF A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
OF THE VILLAGE OF NORTH BARRINGTON WHICH WAS HELD MONDAY,
DECEMBER 15, 2003 AT THE NORTH BARRINGTON VILLAGE HALL,
111 OLD BARRINGTON ROAD

I. Call to Order and Roll Call

At 7:32 P.M. President Starkey called the Meeting to order. The Clerk led the Pledge of Allegiance, and then called the roll:

Present: President Starkey, Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Peterson and Parker
Absent: Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
Also Present: Kathy Nelander, Village Clerk
Judy Janus, Administrative Assistant
J.W. Braithwaite, Village Attorney
Harry Burroughs, 533 North Avenue, Barrington
Don Husted, 422 Kimberly Road
George Dyer, 239 Beachview Lane
Al Stefan, Baxter & Woodman
Robert Nelander, 237 Beachview Lane
Mr. & Mrs. Frank Tripicchio, 241 Beachview Lane
Ray Donini, 26470 N. Swift, Wauconda
Cassidy Towne, Parks & Recreation Commission
Kathy Kubick, Parks & Recreation Commission
Marypat Johnson, Detterbeck, Johnson & Monsen, Palatine

II. Public Comment

Don Husted, 422 Kimberly Road, expressed concerns about roads in the Village. Those concerns included home owners that place reflector posts along their property lines, which could cause the snow plow contractor to plow a narrower swathe on the Village roads, icing and salt usage on roads, as well as placement of stop signs on the Village Roads. Trustee Parker thanked Mr. Husted for his suggestions and asked that Mr. Husted provide him with a list of the concerns for further review. President Starkey asked Village Engineer Al Stefan to look into the current signage at the intersection of Signal Hill and Kimberly Roads.

III: Consent Agenda

Motion: On motion of Trustee McEnroe, seconded by Trustee Parker, the following agenda items were unanimously approved by a single omnibus vote:

- A. Approve the Minutes of Cont. Public Hearing, Public Hearing and Regular Board Meeting, November 24, 2003

- B. Approve the Treasurer's Report for the 7th month of F/Y 2004 for file and audit
- C. Approve the December 15, 2003 Vouchers for payment of bills
- D. Ratification of motion made at 11/24/03 meeting regarding adoption of BAGIS Memorandum of Understanding

Discussion: Trustee Peterson asked that the minutes from the Regular Board Meeting on November 24, 2003 be amended. The Clerk read the requested changes to the minutes. Trustees McEnroe and Parker accepted the changes to the minutes.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson, President Starkey
Nays: None
Absent: Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
Abstain: None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

IV. Appointments/Resignations

- A. Resolution #2288 appointing Gery Herrmann as Plan Commission Vice Chair
- B. Resolution #2289 appointing Denis Taillon as member of the Plan Commission
- C. Resolution #2290 appointing Joe DiPino as member of the Zoning Board of Appeals

President Starkey outlined the Resolutions which had been made available to the Board in the Agenda packet.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to approve Resolution #2288 seconded by Trustee Peterson.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared Resolution #2288 approved and put on file.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to approve Resolution #2289; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared Resolution #2289 approved and put on file.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to approve Resolution #2290; seconded by Trustee Bartlett.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion:

The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

President Starkey declared Resolution #2290 approved and put on file.

V. Variations/Subdivisions/Code Amendments

A. Ordinance #1020 approving Zoning Variation request for property at 488 Pinewoods Drive, Mr. & Mrs. David Hoppe

President Starkey noted that all the requirements to request a zoning variation had been met by the applicant, and explained that Mr. & Mrs. Hoppe were asking for variances from the following sections of the zoning code:

Plat of

Subdivision: 40 foot building line.
The recorded plat of subdivision for East Oaksbury depicts a 40 foot building setback line along Hewes Drive. The existing house and proposed garage addition are located approximately 26.38 feet from the property line along Hewes Drive representing existing and proposed building line encroachments of approximately 13.62 feet.

ZR-8-2(A)3: From all other public roads or streets the setback shall not be less than sixty (60) feet from the established centerline.
Based on the 50 ft. wide right-of-way established for Hewes Drive, the setback shall not be less than 35 ft. as measured from the property line. The existing house and proposed garage addition are located approximately 26.38 feet from the property line along Hewes Drive representing existing and proposed building setback encroachments of approximately 8.62 feet.

ZR-1-2(B) and
ZR-1-7(A,2): A non-conforming building or use shall not be expanded.
The subject property is non-conforming on account of the existing building setback encroachment.

President Starkey invited Mr. & Mrs. Hoppe's architect, Harry Burroughs, to address the Board and explain the variance requests. Mr. Burroughs explained that the Hoppe's were asking for the zoning variations in order to construct an addition and alternations to the home at 488 Pinewoods Drive. He explained that the current garage at the home was in violation of the Village's setback requirement, but that a proposed garage addition would match the same setback as the current garage, and that no neighbors would be affected as it was the street side of the yard that needed the variance. Mr. Burroughs explained that the home was on a corner lot, and that the garage doors would face Lafferty Park, which is vacant property. He explained

that currently the garage doors face Pinewoods Drive, and they were to be moved to face Hewes Drive. Mr. Burroughs explained that the other alterations to the home were in compliance with Village Code. Mr. Burroughs explained that the addition would require an endorsement to the Title Policy as the addition would violate the platted building setback line.

President Starkey noted that the Architectural Committee of the Oaksbury homeowners Association had given a favorable recommendation regarding the proposed addition, as well as the Zoning Board of Appeals at their December meeting. It was also noted that there were no concerns from neighbors. There were questions from the Board and further discussion.

Village Attorney noted Section 2 of the Ordinance, stating "that a building permit shall not be issued until the owner presents evidence reasonably satisfactory to the Village Attorney that a title company licensed to do business in Illinois has issued a commitment to insure title notwithstanding the encroachment of the existing building and proposed garage addition over the existing forty (40) foot platted building line." Mr. Burroughs, representing Mr. & Mrs. Hoppe, said that the title company did agree to this and that Section 2 was acceptable.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to adopt Ordinance #1020 allowing Mr. & Mrs. David Hoppe to construct an addition and alterations to the home at 488 Pinewoods Drive using the preliminary plans prepared by HBB Architectural, last revised 11-10-03, and that final architectural drawings would be required for permitting purposes; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson, President Starkey
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

B. Ordinance #1021, approving Zoning Variation request for property at 241 Beachview Lane, Mr. & Mrs. Frank Tripicchio

President Starkey noted that all the requirements to request a zoning variation had been met by the applicant, and explained that Mr. & Mrs. Tripicchio were asking for variances from the following sections of the zoning code:

ZR-1-2(B) and

ZR-1-7(A,2): A non-conforming building or use shall not be expanded

The subject property constitutes a legal non-conforming use on account of its lot area, average lot width, lot width at the front lot line and existing building setback encroachments.

- ZR-5-3(A): R-3 Residential Zoning District requires that each lot have an area of at least 40,000 square feet, an average width of at least 130 feet, and a width at the front lot line of at least 115 feet.
The subject property (Lots 24 and 25 in Block 24 in Unit No. 1 of Biltmore County Estates) has an area of approximately 12,000 s.f., an average width of approximately 96 feet, and a width at the front lot of 100 feet.
- ZR-8-2(C): From each side line, the setback shall not be less than 10 feet.
The existing house is located approximately 8.87 feet from the west side line.
- ZR-8-2(D): From the rear line, the setback shall not be less than 40 feet.
The existing house is located approximately 26.1 feet from the rear line

Mr. Tripicchio explained that he had appeared before the Board in August of 2003, when his request for a variance was denied. Mr. Tripicchio explained that he has since revised his plans. Mr. Tripicchio explained that the previous proposal was for a two story addition which would extend 25'8" from the front of the existing garage to include a garage with a three season porch above it, with the same dimension. He explained that the current plans, have reduced the size of the addition and now provide for a 21 foot extension of the garage with a three season room above which extended 18'10". Mr. Tripicchio explained that the existing screened porch would be converted into an expanded master bedroom. Mr. Tripicchio explained that the existing garage was undersized for the family's needs, and that the additional garage was needed for storage. He explained that there would be an additional 396 square feet added to the home, and approximately 462 square feet added to the garage.

There were many questions from the Board. President Starkey asked if there was anyone in the audience who wished to address the Board.

Robert Nelander, 237 Beachview Lane, explained that he lived two doors down from the Tripicchio's, and that he objected to the proposed addition. His concerns included the size of the addition on a small lot, that he did not feel that a hardship had been demonstrated in order to warrant the variance, and that he supported the concerns of Mr. Dyer about the loss of his view.

George Dyer, 239 Beachview Lane, explained that he was the immediate neighbor to the east of the Tripicchios. Mr. Dyer explained that he had submitted computer illustrations which show how the proposed changes to the Tripicchio's home would block a current, uninterrupted view to the west from his front door/porch area. Mr. Dyer said that he felt Mr. Tripicchio knew the limitations of the garage when he purchased the home, and that a permanent expansion of the building to meet a temporary family situation seemed disproportionate. He asked that the Board deny the variance request.

There were further questions from the Board about the screened porch addition and the

materials to be used. President Starkey explained that the Zoning Board of Appeals had voted 3 to 2 to deny the Zoning Variation, and the vote required four votes, thus there was "no recommendation" from the ZBA. She recapped that the variances were required due to the small size of the lot, average lot width, lot width at the front lot line, and existing building setback encroachments on the west side of the lot, as well as the rear of the lot.

Motion: Trustee Peterson moved to adopt Ordinance #1021 allowing Mr. & Mrs. Frank Tripicchio to construct a two story addition to the home at 241 Beachview Lane using the preliminary architectural plans prepared by Amzor Architects, Inc., last revised October 20, 2003 and that final architectural drawing would be required for permitting purposes; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was lengthy discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett and Parker, President Starkey
	Nays:	Trustees Peterson and McEnroe
	Absent:	Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared that the motion did not carry, as the motion required a majority of four votes. The Village Attorney suggested, as there were two Trustees missing from the meeting, the issue be reconsidered at the January Board meeting where a full Board could hear the variance request.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to reconsider the denial of approval of Ordinance #1021 at the January 26, 2004 Village Board Meeting; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker, and Peterson, President Starkey
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared the motion approved and said that the matter would be reconsidered at the January 26, 2004 Board Meeting.

C. Ordinance #1023 Approving the Village 2003-2004 Annual Appropriation

The Ordinance had been made available to the Board in the Agenda packet, and was the subject of an earlier Public Hearing.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to adopt Ordinance #1023, the Village 2003-2004 Annual Appropriation; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker, and Peterson, President Starkey

Nays: None

Absent: Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt

Abstain: None

President Starkey declared Ordinance #1023 approved and put on file.

VI. COMMISSION AND STAFF REPORTS

President Starkey stated that the following items were contained in the Trustee's packets, and that if there were any questions, to contact the corresponding Commission Chair or Village Officer.

A. Building Department Report (Kelly Rafferty - Rafferty Architects)

The Building and Zoning Department Activity Report was made available to the Board.

B. Engineering Project Status Report (Al Stefan - Baxter & Woodman)

The Engineering Project Status Report was made available to the Board.

C. Health & Sanitation Report (Natalie Karney - Land Technology)

The Health & Sanitation Monthly Report was made available to the Board.

D. Plan Commission Meeting Minutes from December, 2003.

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

E. Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting Minutes from December 2003.

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

F. Parks Commission Meeting Minutes from December 2003.

The Minutes of the Meeting were made available to the Board.

G. Environmental & Health Commission Meeting Minutes

Due to lack of quorum, the Environmental & Health Commission did not meet.

VII. ADMINISTRATION

A. President's report

The President's Report was made available to the Board.

B. Run Through the Woods/Fall Event Update

President Starkey explained that Cassidy Towne and Kathy Kubick, Parks & Recreation Commission Chair and Vice Chair respectively, were in the audience to address the Board regarding the Run Through the Woods and Fall Event.

Kathy Kubick addressed the Board and explained that she was the Director of the Fall Festival. She explained that the festival was organized by a small committee comprised of Commission members as well as other volunteers from North Barrington. She passed out an overview of the costs for both the Fall Festival and Run Through the Woods events.

Kathy Kubick explained that the committee felt the Fall Festival should include attractions for the entire community, as the event has been perceived as an event for children. She said that musicians were hired in order to provide a café type setting to the area and provide residents an area to sit and relax, although hiring the musicians raised the costs of running the Festival, which typically costs approximately \$6000. She noted that sponsorships are not solicited, but the Village Bank and Trust had sponsored the event in the past. Ms. Kubick explained that some costs were shared with the Run Through the Woods, such as port-o-let rentals, tents, and security, and that the event would cost more if these costs were not shared.

Cassidy Towne addressed the Board. The Parks & Recreation Commission Chair said that although both events are fun for the community, they require a lot of man hours and money. She explained that for the first time, the Commission had hired a race director, resident Marilyn McAlester, and that she had done a great job with the publicity, sponsors, vendors, and the organizing of volunteers. Ms. Towne explained that Marilyn McAlester had submitted approximately 100 man hours from June through October working on the organization of the race, which included attending the Parks and Recreation Commission meetings, although she probably put in more time than the 100 hours submitted. Cassidy Towne outlined the attendance numbers for the race including that there were 152 runners, 33 of whom were North Barrington residents, and 75 walkers, 90% of whom were North Barrington residents.

Chairman Towne explained that the total cost to run the race was \$9120. She said that they had received \$7,464 in participation fees and sponsorship donations, and \$3,446 should be transferred from the Parks budget. Ms. Towne reported that the race director's salary and the cost of the t-shirts should be paid from the Parks budget. Ms. Towne said that when adding the participation fees, donations, and Parks contribution, minus the cost of the race, the contribution

to Citizens for Conservation would be approximately \$1789.

Ms. Towne explained that the Parks Commission wanted direction from the Board on whether or not to organize another race for next year, as planning for both events would have to begin in January. She explained that the Commission wanted the Board's input on various issues including the hiring of another race director, soliciting for sponsors, and donating to Citizens for Conservation (CFC).

President Starkey thanked both Cassidy Towne and Kathy Kubick, the Parks and Recreation Commission, as well as all the volunteers, for the success of both events. She said that she felt that the events were worthwhile, although there were concerns that the race did not show a profit from this year's event, and if a contribution to CFC was in order. There was further discussion on how it was the Board's request that the total costs of the event were to be subtracted from the total revenue and that only if there were a profit would CFC receive a donation. There was lengthy discussion. It was the consensus of the Board that both events were worthwhile and could be supported by the Village, but as a policy, the Board should not decide where to apply charitable contributions with the taxpayers' money. The Board decided that there would not be a contribution to CFC this year as the race did not make a profit. President Starkey and Chairman Towne decided to meet later to discuss the best way to inform CFC of the Board's decision. The Board suggested having a volunteer, if possible, to serve as the next race director. President Starkey, as well as other Board members, said that they would help solicit donations for next year's race.

C. North Barrington/Barrington Intergovernmental Boundary Agreement (tabled)

The topic remained tabled.

D. Report on and adoption of Annual Audit (Detterbeck, Johnson & Monsen)

President Starkey explained that Marypat Johnson, of Detterbeck, Johnson & Monsen, the Village auditors, was in the audience to present the Village's Annual Audit. President Starkey noted that Ms. Johnson's services had been invaluable the past few weeks with Quick Books implementation and payroll taxes during the Village Treasurer's illness.

Trustee McEnroe explained that he had asked Ms. Johnson to address the Board because an organization should have a candid conversation with the auditor to make sure the auditor received all the cooperation requested, and to give the auditor a chance to discuss any unusual adjustments that may have been made.

Ms. Johnson said that there had always been cooperation between the Village and the auditors. She noted that this year's auditing process was a little different as the Village's finances had been put into the QuickBooks program. She asked if the Board had any questions; there were none. Trustee McEnroe thanked Ms. Johnson.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to adopt the Annual Audit; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson, President Starkey

Nays: None

Absent: Trustee Hjertstedt and Forman

Abstain: None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

E. Payroll Service Companies

President Starkey explained that due to the Village Treasurer becoming ill, the Village, on advice from Auditors Detterbeck, Johnson & Monsen, looked into payroll services for the Village staff. President Starkey explained that she, with Village Assistant Judy Janus, had met with three payroll firms, Honkamp-Krueger, Paychex, and ADP. Based on the discussions and in comparison of the costs and benefits, President Starkey said that it was their recommendation to hire a payroll company for the Village's payroll processing needs starting January 1, 2004, and award the contract to Paychex. She explained that Paychex would take care of all aspects of payroll including deposits of all tax liabilities, quarterly returns, and Federal and State W-2s. There were questions from the Board. The Board decided to hire a payroll service and agreed that starting the payroll services at the first of the year would be beneficial for all involved.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to utilize a payroll service for the Village staff starting January 1, 2004; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson, President Starkey

Nays: None

Absent: Trustee Hjertstedt and Forman

Abstain: None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to award the contract for the Village's payroll services to Paychex; seconded by Trustee Peterson.

Discussion: There was no discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson, President Starkey

Nays: None
Absent: Trustee Hjertstedt and Forman
Abstain: None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

F. Ethics Ordinance (first draft)

Trustee McEnroe referred to a draft Ethics Ordinance which had been made available to the Board in the Agenda packet. He said that he felt it was important for every Village to have an Ethics Ordinance. He explained that the ordinance would not preclude the Board from any interaction with people who do business with the Village, but would outline how to disclosure of such business and how to reclude oneself from any decisions. He said that he felt that taxpayers expected a certain standard from their elected officials, and an Ethics Ordinance was a good thing to have on the books. He asked that the Board review the materials for an in-depth conversation at the January Board Meeting.

Trustee Parker had some questions about Ordinance #808 and #914, pertaining to the State Gift Ban Act. Village Attorney Braithwaite explained that Ordinance #808 adopted the State Gift Ban Act and Ordinance #914 amended it to include "non-salaried" officials. Village Attorney Braithwaite also explained that the draft ordinance was a copy of South Barrington's ordinance, and a starting point for the Board's discussions. Trustee Parker asked why an additional ordinance was needed. Village Attorney Braithwaite explained that the draft Ethics Ordinance was an expansion of the State Gift Ban Act and it was a more comprehensive document which outlined the "dos and don'ts" in greater detail.

G. Comprehensive Plan Update & Comments

President Starkey explained that the next Public Hearing for the Comprehensive Plan was January 12, 2004 at 7:15 p.m., prior to the regularly scheduled Plan Commission meeting. She explained that there would be two additional hearings, with the final hearing to be held prior to the January Board meeting where the Board could make changes to the document and then adopt the Plan.

H. Resolution #2291 in support of TEA-21 Funds

The Resolution had been made available to the Board in the Agenda packet. President Starkey asked Village Engineer Al Stefan to explain TEA-21 Funds. Village Engineer Al Stefan explained that the Transportation Equity Act for the 21st Century (TEA-21) is a federal transportation infrastructure funding program for transportation projects. He explained that the Resolution is supporting the U. S. House of Representative Transportation and Infrastructure Committee's Federal Transportation Funding Proposal and that eventually these programs reach a local level.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to approve Resolution #2291; seconded by Trustee Peterson.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson

Nays: None

Absent: Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt

Abstain: None

President Starkey declared Resolution #2291 approved and put on file.

I. Comcast Cable Agreement

Trustee Parker explained that the Trustees had received a copy of the proposed Comcast Cable licensing agreement for the Village of North Barrington in their packets. Trustee Parker explained that Village Attorney J.W. Braithwaite had tried to contact Comcast several times with his recommended changes, but that he was still waiting for a return call to discuss the proposed changes. Trustee Parker said he hoped to have the final copy for the January meeting.

J. Police Proposal

President Starkey reminded the Board that they had discussed during the November Board Meeting Tower Lakes' proposal to provide police services for the Village. She said that it was the Board's opinion that the Lake County Sheriff's Police had served the Village well, and the Village would retain Lake County's services. President Starkey said that Trustee Forman felt that the Board should take some type of formal action to state the Board's position. Trustee McEnroe said that Trustee Forman had contacted him earlier and asked that he make a motion on her behalf. There was further discussion about whether or not the Village should research other possibilities at this time.

Trustee Parker said that he had done extensive research with regard to the current contract with Lake County. Since the contract with Lake County is due to expire December 31, 2004, President Starkey suggested forming a Police Committee, to review the future contract for the Village. Trustee Forman, as the Trustee liaison for Police Services, would chair the Committee, and Trustee McEnroe volunteered to work with her on the Committee, although he did ask that a third person be on the Committee. President Starkey said that she would talk with Trustee Forman about the Committee.

Motion: Trustee McEnroe moved to advise Tower Lakes that the Village of North Barrington does not desire to consider a change of police services effective May 1, 2004, since the Village of North Barrington has an existing contract with the Lake County Sheriff's Police which does not expire until December 31, 2004; seconded by Trustee Parker.

Discussion: There was lengthy discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call: Ayes: Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson
Nays: None
Absent: Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
Abstain: None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

VIII. Reports by Board of Trustees

A. Trustee Craig Parker

Trustee Parker had nothing further to report.

B. Trustee Fred Hjertstedt

Trustee Hjertstedt was absent.

C. Trustee Kimberly Forman

Trustee Forman was absent.

D. Trustee Dave Peterson

Trustee Peterson had nothing further to report.

E. Trustee Jack McEnroe

Trustee McEnroe had nothing further to report.

F. Trustee Sidney Bartlett

Trustee Bartlett explained that the Plan Commission was currently researching teardown ordinances. She explained that the Commission was divided on whether or not the Village needed a teardown ordinance, and the discussions at the Commission level had been quite lengthy. She explained that the Commission was looking to the Board for direction. Trustee McEnroe suggested that the Commission research the issue and also look into the restructuring of the building permit costs with regard to teardowns. There was also discussion of using Floor Area Ratio (FAR) in comparison to lot size in an effort to control the size of a rebuild. There were questions as to whether or not Wynstone had anything in its architectural covenants to cover teardowns. The Board felt that the teardown issue was worth researching.

Motion: Trustee Bartlett moved to encourage the Plan Commission to examine the tear down

subject and to report back to the Board with their findings; seconded by Trustee McEnroe.

Discussion: There was some discussion.

Vote on Motion

By Roll Call:	Ayes:	Trustees Bartlett, McEnroe, Parker and Peterson
	Nays:	None
	Absent:	Trustees Forman and Hjertstedt
	Abstain:	None

President Starkey declared the motion approved.

IX. CLOSED SESSION.

The Board did not go into Closed Session.

X. OLD BUSINESS

There was no old business to discuss.

XI. NEW BUSINESS

President Starkey reminded the Board about the adjourned Meeting on December 27, 2003 at 9:00 a.m.

XII. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business to come before the Board, Trustee McEnroe moved to adjourn the meeting to date certain, December 27, 2003 at 9:00 a.m.; seconded by Trustee Bartlett. The voice vote was unanimous in favor.

At 9:45 p.m. President Starkey declared the meeting adjourned to date certain, December 27, 2003 at 9:00 a.m.

These Minutes were approved by the Board at a meeting held January 26, 2004.

Attest: _____

Kathy Nelander, Village Clerk

12/15/03 - Page 13 of 13

